UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

	FILED	
	MAY - 8 2008	
SON		Man p
illiam C). Hayes	DEPVIY

	CT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 5/7/08		
FROM: <u>M. Cruz</u> , CASE NO.: 07cv2373	Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: <u>5/7/08</u> B-WOH-CAB DOCUMENT FILED BY: <u>Chad McKinney, Pro Se</u>		
	ney v. Apollo Group Inc. et al		
	D: Plaintiff's Reply To April Alcorn's Motion To Set Aside Entry of Default		
DOCUMENT ENTITLE	D. Flamum 8 Reply 10 April Alcom 8 Wotton 10 Set Aside End y of Default		
Upon the subm	dission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
✓ Local Rule	Discrepancy		
5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation		
5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper		
5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.		
7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely		
7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document		
7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions		
7.1	Missing table of contents		
15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself		
30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order		
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest		
ī .	OTHER:		
T IS HEREBY ORDER	ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE Date forwarded: 5/9/08		
71:	 ·		
	be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.		
The document is N copy of this order	IOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a on all parties.		
Rejected documen	t to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers		
Counsel is advised to Local Rule 83.1	that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant CHAMBERS OF: 1.26 CHAMBERS OF:		
Dated: $5 - 7$ cc: All Parties			